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В статье анализируются результаты экспериментальных исследований и моделирования физико-химических характеристик плазмы разрядов постоянного тока с электролитным катодом при давлениях (1,01-0,101)·10⁵ Па, создаваемой в молекулярных и атомарных газах. Приводятся сведения о физических параметрах плазмы (напряженности электрических полей, катодные падения потенциала, газовые температуры). Анализируются результаты измерений и расчетов концентрации активных частиц, электронов, а также распределений молекул по колебательным уровням и функций распределения электронов по энергиям.
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PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF DC CURRENT DISCHARGE PLASMA WITH LIQUID CATHODE

In article the results of experimental studies and modeling of physic-chemical parameters of plasma of DC current discharges with electrolyte cathode are analyzed at the pressure range of \((1.01-0.101)\cdot10^5\) Pa for molecular and atomic gases. The data on physical parameters of plasma (electric field strength, cathode voltage drop, gas temperatures) are given. The results of measurements and calculations of active species concentrations, electrons, as well as molecule distributions on vibrational levels and electron energy distribution functions are analyzed.
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INTRODUCTION

Numerous studies published last ten years (see reviews [1-7]) on various aspects of high pressure non-equilibrium plasma created with gas discharges in water solutions or above their surface emphasize the increasing interest of researches to the given area of chemistry and physics of plasma. One of the reasons of that interest is the new possibilities which provide these discharges for practical application. To date, many applications were already tested. Among them biomedical applications (plasma scalps, sterilization, wounds repair (NO-therapy), microsized sources of emission for analysis of water solutions containing the ion admixtures of metals (including heavy metals), modification of polymer surfaces, obtaining fullerenes, nano powders of semiconductors, and catalysts. There is successful experience on the discharge application for soil remediation and food treatment from pesticides [8, 9]. One of the promising directions of such discharge application is the use for organic substances degradation and removing ions of heavy metals (for example, Cr, Mn) contained in wastewaters. A plasma action is attractive since the plasma itself is a source of active species (for example, UV radiation, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), molecules of singlet oxygen, oxygen and hydrogen atoms, \(\text{OH}\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) radicals etc.). These particles are capable to manifest as oxidative or reduction properties depending on conditions. Another important peculiarity of processes in plasma systems is high rates at relatively low temperatures. This is due to the fact that plasma systems are non-equilibrium ones. The primary source of active species formation is not heat activation but processes with participation of electrons. Electrons gain the energy from external electric field and transform this energy colliding with atoms and molecules to the energy of excitation of various states and to dissociation. Further reactions of particles being formed provide the formation of other active particles.

In the given paper the properties, peculiarities and possibilities of plasma forming at DC discharge burning above a surface of water or water solution, which serve as cathodes of the discharge, will be considered.

REACTOR DESIGN. MAIN PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The typical sketch of reactor for creation and study of DC discharge properties is shown in Fig. 1 [10-13]. The discharge is created by applying a DC high voltage (~several kV) between metal anode (4)
and water solution. The cell with solution can be thermo stabilized and include the mixer. The solution flow is also possible.

Fig. 1. The schematic diagram of the experimental set-up. 1 – cathode, 2 - glass bell-jar, 3 – anode, 4 – discharge, 5 - quartz window, 6 – radiation output to entrance lens of light fiber, 7 – glass cell with solution, 8,9 – gas outlet and inlet, 10 - entrance lens of light fiber, 11- light fiber

Рис. 1. Схема экспериментального реактора. 1 – катод, 2 – вакуумный колпак, 3 – анод, 4 – разряд, 5 – кварцевое окно, 6 – выход излучения, собираемого световодом, 7 – ячейка с раствором, 8,9 – вход и выход газа, 10 – входная линза световода, 11 – световод

The discharge image is glowing cone in the base of which the cathode spot is situated with the diameter of \( D_c \). The area of cathode voltage drop abuts upon this spot (Fig. 2).

Geometrical parameters of discharge cone depend on the discharge current. At given pressure with the current increase the radius of cathode spot and radius at height \( H \) (Fig. 2) depends linearly on the discharge current. At fixed current the discharge dimensions decrease with the pressure growth (Fig. 3). Also, the radius depends on a kind of plasma-forming gas. Thus, at atmospheric pressure the radius is increased from 1.42 to 1.8 mm at the current change from 10 to 30 mA for air discharge, from 0.4 to 0.6 mm for argon discharge and from 0.08 to 0.2 mm for helium discharge.

Fig. 2. The discharge view. 1 – anode, 2 – discharge, 3 – cathode spot on the solution surface

Рис. 2. Вид разряда. 1 – анод, 2 – разряд, 3 – катодное пятно на поверхности раствора

Forming discharge is very close to normal glow discharge of low pressure on own parameters.

1) Discharge has the space of cathode voltage drop contacting directly with a water surface [15-19]. But values of cathode drops, \( U_c \), are essentially higher that for discharges with metal cathodes. For metal cathodes, the \( U_c \) values are less than \( \approx 300 \) V [6], whereas for cathode from distilled water they lie in the range of 400-750 V (Fig. 4, [16]). Therefore, \( \gamma \)-emission coefficient for water system is less than for metals.

Fig. 3. The dependence of discharge radius at height of \( H \) on the pressure. 1,3 – \( \text{N}_2 \) [12,14], 2 – \( \text{O}_2 \) [13]. The discharge current is 40 mA

Рис. 3. Зависимость радиуса разряда на высоте \( H \) от давления. 1,3 – \( \text{N}_2 \) [12,14], 2 – \( \text{O}_2 \) [13]. Ток разряда 40 мА

2) Discharge has the space of cathode voltage drop contacting directly with a water surface [15-19]. But values of cathode drops, \( U_c \), are essentially higher that for discharges with metal cathodes. For metal cathodes, the \( U_c \) values are less than \( \approx 300 \) V [6], whereas for cathode from distilled water they lie in the range of 400-750 V (Fig. 4, [16]). Therefore, \( \gamma \)-emission coefficient for water system is less than for metals.

Fig. 4. The cathode voltage drop vs discharge current for distilled water (1) and KCl solutions. 2,3,4,5 – KCl concentrations are 0.08, 0.25, 0.42 and 0.5 mol/l, respectively. Plasma-forming gas is ambient air

Рис. 4. Катодное падение потенциала как функция тока разряда для дистиллированной воды (1) и растворов KCl. 2,3,4,5 – концентрации растворов 0.08, 0.25, 0.42 и 0.5 моль/л соответственно. Плазмообразующий газ-воздух
Unlike discharges of low pressure where $U_c$ does not depend on the discharge current, for the discharge with liquid cathode the trend of $U_c$ dropping is clearly observed under the current increase. The fact is that at discharge action on water, other substances are formed, partially, hydrogen peroxide [20]. And at every current value we deal with the cathode of different chemical nature. This fact is confirmed by $U_c$ change at addition to water of different salts. The $U_c$ value depends also on the kind of plasma-forming gas. It decreases from 719 to 426 V in series of air, $N_2$, $N_2O$, He, $O_2$, $CO_2$ and Ar at the discharge current of 25 mA [13, 21]. The discharge current increase results in the reduction of cathode drop and in the increase in a diameter of cathode spot. When this diameter reaches the vessel diameter, the discharge transfers to abnormal form as it takes place for the discharge of low pressure. The cathode drop starts growing with the discharge current [22].

2) The discharge structure is the same as for glow discharge at low pressure. It includes cathode drop, negative glowing, Faraday’s dark space, positive column and anode glowing [15, 23, 26].

3) Electric field strength, $E$, is constant within positive column (in plasma) [15, 18, 24, 25]. $E$ values depend on the discharge current, pressure and plasma-forming gas kind and less on electrolyte composition (Fig. 5-6).

4) Like normal discharge of low pressure the discharge current density doesn’t depend on the discharge current at given pressure [15, 19].

Fig. 5. The electric field strength in a plasma vs discharge current for distilled water (1) and KCl solutions. 2, 3, 4, 5 – KCl concentrations are 0.08, 0.25, 0.42 and 0.5 mol/l, respectively. Plasma-forming gas is ambient air.

Fig. 6. The electric field strength vs pressure. 1- $N_2$, 2 – $O_2$, 3 – Ar+2% $N_2$, 4 – Ar, 5 – air, 6, 7, 8 – for $N_2$, air, and Ar on data [20]. The discharge current is 40 mA.

**ROTATIONAL, VIBRATIONAL AND GAS TEMPERATURES**

Due to small discharge dimensions (Fig. 3) practically the only methods for discharge studies are emission spectroscopy [1], absorption spectroscopy [27], and laser induced fluorescence (LIF) [12]. The emission spectroscopy is exclusively used for determination of rotational and vibrational temperatures. The rotational temperatures are obtained from a distribution of emission intensities in vibrational bands of emission of excited states of second positive system $N_2(C^3Π_u\rightarrow B^3Π_g)$, usually (0-2) band is utilized.

Emission bands of OH are detected already in a discharge with water cathode and $N_2$ exists as admixture or it is intentionally introduced into plasma-forming gas as a small addition ~0.1-0.2% [11, 13, 21]. Since the rotational constants of OH ($A^2Σ$) and $N_2(C^3Π_u)$ are essentially less than $κ\cdot T$ (k is the Boltzmann constant) (for $A^2Σ$ – 17.4 cm$^{-1}$ or 25 K, for $C^3Π_u$ – 1.8 cm$^{-1}$ or 2.6 K) and collision frequencies of molecules for atmospheric pressure are $10^9$ s$^{-1}$ it is be possible to assume that the rotational degrees of freedom are in equilibrium with the translational ones, i.e. the rotational temperature must be equal to the gas temperature. All studies showed that for $N_2(C^3Π_u)$ molecules, the distribution on rotational levels is the Boltzmann one with the same value of temperature [14, 15, 21, 26, 27-31]. At the same time, the distribution on rotational levels for OH ($A^2Σ$, $V=1$) can be described with the two Boltzmann distributions with different temperatures. Up to some rotational level, $J'$, which depend of plasma-forming gas kind ($J'$ in-
The results of rotational temperatures measurements at atmospheric pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas (газ)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{rot}}(\text{OH}) )</th>
<th>( T_{\text{rot}}(\text{N}_2) )</th>
<th>Current ( (\text{ток}) )</th>
<th>References ( (\text{литература}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>air (воздух)</td>
<td>3250±250</td>
<td>3250±250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>3342±200</td>
<td>2900±200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>1282±200</td>
<td>1100±200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>2008±200</td>
<td>2400±200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>2931±200</td>
<td>2100±200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>air (воздух)</td>
<td>1800±200</td>
<td>1900±200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>air (воздух)</td>
<td>2540</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>3112±150</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>1450±150</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>2218±150</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>1720±150</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>air (воздух)</td>
<td>3400±200</td>
<td>1800±200</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>air (воздух)</td>
<td>2000±1500</td>
<td>10-40</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>2700±100</td>
<td>2700±100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The temperatures depend only slightly on the discharge current [15, 16] but significantly on the pressure [11-13]. Any essential dependence on the concentration of the dissolved substance (KCl, NaCl) is not observed [15, 16].

The distribution of N\(_2\)(C\(^{1}Π\(_{u}\)) molecules on vibrational level \( V = 0 \) was measured for atmospheric pressure plasma in air in studies [10, 15, 26, 24, 31]. It was found that the distribution is the Boltzmann one with the temperature of \( T_v \) \((4200±200) \) K. The temperature did not depend on the discharge current in the range of 10-110 mA.

The distribution of NO(\(^{A}\Sigma, V = 0, 1, 2\)) molecules on vibrational levels was determined on the intensity of vibrational-rotational bands of \( γ \)-system for air plasma in study [26] at the discharge current of 20 mA. The temperature obtained was \((3800±200) \) K, i.e. it was close to \( T_v \) for N\(_2\)(C\(^{1}Π\(_{u}\)) in a limit of error.

The distribution of OH (\(^{A}\Sigma, V = 0, 1, 2\)) molecules on vibrational levels was found on the intensity of vibrational-rotational bands of (\(^{A}\Sigma→^{X}\Pi\)) transitions for air plasma in studies [24, 26]. The temperature obtained in [26] was \((3800±200) \) K at the discharge current of 20 mA. The \( T_v \) values were increased from \((2000±200) \) to \((3300±200) \) K at the discharge current increase from 15 up to 50 mA [24].

We do not know any experimental data on vibrational temperatures of ground state molecules of plasma-forming gases and products of their reactions. But there are several calculations carried out in studies [10, 31] for air plasma, in [11] for argon plasma, in [12] for N\(_2\) plasma, and in [13] for O\(_2\) plasma. In these studies, the self-consistent calculation of electron energy distribution functions (EEDF), molecule distributions on vibrational levels of ground states and equations of chemical kinetics was carried out. For discharges in Ar and O\(_2\) the small admixture of N\(_2\)(<0.2%) molecules was introduced. The calculated \( T_v \) was fitted to the measured one to find the water molecule content in a gas phase. In all cases the calculated distributions were non-equilibrium. But they
can be described by the Boltzmann distribution for low values of vibrational quantum number (Fig. 8, 9).

**Fig. 8.** The normalized distribution of N2(X^1Σ_g^+) molecules on vibration levels for nitrogen plasma [12]. V - number of level. 1 - 0.1 bar, 2 - 1.0 bar. The discharge current is 40 mA

**Fig. 9.** The O2(X^3Σ_g) molecule distribution on vibrational levels at the averaged gas temperature for oxygen plasma [13]. V - number of vibration level. 1, 2 - pressures are 0.1 and 1.0 bar, respectively. The discharge current is 40 mA

Calculated vibrational temperatures for N2(X^1Σ_g^+) ground state practically agree with the measured ones for N2(C^1Πu). Therefore, vibrational temperature found for N2(C^1Πu) are a good estimate of the vibrational temperature of the ground state. For atmospheric pressure in air, the vibrational temperatures do not depend both on the discharge current as for N2(X^1Σ_g^+) and for ground states of other molecules. They were O2(X^3Σ_g^+) ~1700 K, H2O(010) ~3000 K, H2O(100,001) ~2700 K, NO ~1600 K [10]. At the given discharge current, the vibrational temperatures increase with pressure. Such dependence is presented in Fig. 7 [13] for current of 40 mA in oxygen whereas for Ar plasma – in Fig. 10 [11].

**Fig. 10.** The effective vibrational temperatures of molecules ground states for Ar plasma [11]. H2O(100)-(1), H2O(001)-(2), O2-(3), H2O(010) - (4). The gas temperature -(5). The discharge current is 40 mA

**Fig. 11.** Reduced electric field strength. Electron parameters

In non-equilibrium plasma the initiation of all primary processes proceeds under the electron impact. To find the rate constants of these processes, it is necessary to know the EEDF which is non-equilibrium. For the conditions of high pressures the EEDF cannot be determined experimentally. The only possible way is the numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation. Parametrically, the EEDF is a function of reduced electric field strength, E/N (N is the total concentration of particles), and plasma chemical composition [32]. E/N value is to some extent an analog of temperature for non-equilibrium EEDF. E/N is determined on the basis of E measurement and N is calculated on a pressure. T_e is calculated from state equation P = N×kT_e. E/N values depend on pressure and discharge current (Figs. 11, 12).

The electron collisions with water molecules have the strongest effect on the EEDF formation. The reason for this is an abnormally high value of moment transfer cross section for electron collision with H2O in comparison with other gases [33]. H2O molecules are affected most strongly at E/N<5·10^{-16} V·cm^2 [34, 35]. These values are typical for atmospheric pressure gas discharges (Figs. 11, 12).
Such data for O₂ plasma are presented in Fig. 13 [13]. Formation of other particles in plasma – excited molecules, atoms, and products of their reactions – influences the EEDF only slightly since their concentrations are less than 1% of main plasma-forming gas density. The exception is vibrationally excited nitrogen molecules in the ground state N₂(X,Σₐ₋₁,V). For air and nitrogen plasmas, it is obligatory to take into consideration the second-kind collisions of electrons with these molecules in EEDF calculations [10, 12]. If these processes are ignored it results in the strong underestimation (by orders of magnitude) of the rate constants of processes with the electron participation as it can be seen from Fig. 14. It is necessary to point out that all EEDF are not the Maxwell ones. Maxwell EEDF must be the straight lines for coordinates of Figs. 13, 14.

Another important parameter of electrons is the electron density, which is necessary for the calculation of process rates. Available data on concentrations were obtained either from experiments [19, 26, 28, 36, 37, 40] or from calculations using plasma conductivity [10-13, 16, 24, 38] by the relationship \( j = N_e \cdot e \cdot V_D \) (\( e, N_e, V_D \) are the charge, concentration and drift velocity of electrons, respectively).
In study [36] the electron density was measured for atmospheric pressure air plasma on absorption of microwave radiation at the discharge current of 40-60 mA. The electron density did not depend on the current and was found to be \((4\text{-}7)\times10^{15}\text{ cm}^3\). This value was confirmed with probes measurements [40].

Electron density was measured on Stark broadening of H\(_2\) line of atomic hydrogen for atmospheric pressure air discharges in studies [19, 26, 28, 37]. Furthermore, the slight dependence on discharge current was observed. The concentrations obtained in all studies were close: \([19, 28] = -(5\text{-}9)\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\), \([24] = -6\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\), \([35] = -(1.5\text{-}4.5)\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\).

In any case, the calculation of plasma conductivity gave the values by one order of magnitude lower. Thus, in study [10] for the atmospheric pressure air plasma it was obtained that the electron density increased with discharge current from \(1.5\times10^{12}\text{ cm}^3\) to \(2.8\times10^{12}\text{ cm}^3\) at current range of \((20\text{-}50)\) mA. The value estimated in [38] gives \(N_e < 2\times10^{13}\text{ cm}^3\). In studies [11-13] the values of \(-1\times10^{13}\text{ cm}^3\), \(-8\times10^{12}\text{ cm}^3\) and \(-1.1\times10^{13}\text{ cm}^3\) were obtained for atmospheric pressure plasmas in Ar, N\(_2\) and O\(_2\), respectively at discharge current of 40 mA.

To explain so large difference, the authors of review [1] write that photometric determination of the discharge radius, which is necessary for discharge current density calculation, results in large errors. But it is not completely true. Photometric determination can only underestimate the radius due to the insufficient sensitivity. Therefore, the calculation of conductivity has to result in concentration overstating rather than in understating. Later, in study [39] a detailed analysis of the methods based on Stark line broadening was carried out. On the basis of careful analysis authors concluded that at \(N_e < 1\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\) the use of the relations connecting concentration and line width, which were obtained for \(N_e > 1\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\) (see, for example, [41]) for \(N_e\) determination, results in large errors. It follows that the relationships obtained for \(N_e > 1\times10^{14}\text{ cm}^3\) do not take in consideration the differences in average energies of electrons and ions, neither the fine structure of lines. The authors of all cited studies [19, 26, 28, 37] treated the results exactly so. Therefore, the data obtained from plasma conductivity appear more realistic. Some data on electron concentrations and their “effective” temperatures are also discussed in the review paper [47].

For the fixed discharge current the electron densities depend on a pressure and they grow with the pressure. Such dependencies for discharges in Ar, O\(_2\), and N\(_2\) are shown in Fig. 15 [11-13].

![Fig. 15. Average electron energies (1-3) and their densities (4-6) vs pressure. 1.6 – Ar, 2.4 – O\(_2\), 3.5 – N\(_2\). The discharge current is 40 mA.](image_url)

Numerous studies are devoted to the determination of the so called effective temperatures of excitation and ionization. For this, the assumptions on Boltzmann distribution and ratio of intensities of appropriate lines or bands are used (see, for example, [19, 26, 42]). It is clear that such parameters do not have any physical sense for non-equilibrium systems. That is why we even avoid the discussion of these results. The estimation of electron “temperatures” and their average energy have also restricted application since EEDFs are not Maxwellian ones. Nevertheless, Fig. 15 shows some data obtained in [11-13] on the basis of the calculated EEDF.

**PARTICLE COMPOSITION OF GAS PHASE**

Qualitative data on composition are available for excited particles only. All data were obtained by optical spectroscopy. But it is known that for non-equilibrium conditions, the particle concentration in ground state is essentially higher than for excited states. Of course, it is impossible to determine the presence of metastable states as well as polyatomic molecules using emission spectra.

For any plasma-forming gases the spectra show the bands and emission lines of excited states of dissociation products of H\(_2\)O molecules [13, 14, 19]. OH radicals are usually presented with two bands of \(A^2\Sigma \rightarrow X^2\Pi\) (1-0) and \(A^2\Sigma \rightarrow X^2\Pi\) (0-0) (excitation energy from the ground states is ~4.1 eV). Atomic hydrogen shows three lines: H\(_{\alpha}\) (656 nm, excitation energy is ~12.1 eV), H\(_{\beta}\) (434 nm, excitation energy is ~13.1 eV), and H\(_{\gamma}\) (486 nm, excitation energy is ~12.8 eV). The radiation of O(I) atom is presented with the two
most intensive lines – 777 nm (3p3P→3s3S transition, excitation energy is ~10.7 eV) and 845 nm (3p3P→3s3S transition, excitation energy is ~10.9 eV).

If plasma-forming gases contain molecular nitrogen, then in emission spectra the bands of second positive system (C1Πu→B3Πg transition, excitation energy is ~11 eV) corresponding to (1-2); (0-1); (2-4); (1-3); (0-2); (3-6); (2-5); (1-4); (0-3); (4-8), (3-7); (2-6) vibrational levels appear. The bands of the first positive system (transition B3Πg→A3Σ+u) are also present but are essentially less intensive.

The emission bands of nitrogen oxide (NO) of γ-system (transition A3Σ→X3Π, excitation energy of ~5.7 eV) corresponding to (1-0), (2-2), (0-0), (0-1), (0-2), (0-3) and (0-4) transitions are also appeared.

The discharge in CO2 shows the emission bands of CO molecules of Angstrom system, and in Ar plasma the set of characteristic emission lines is appears. The exception is He plasma where the emission lines do not appear. This is due to the fact that the excitation energy of He electronic states is too large (19.8 eV and higher), whereas the electron energies at atmospheric pressure are low. As the result, the excitation rates of electronic states are low.

At the presence of salts dissolved in water, the emission lines (presumably for resonance transitions) of appropriate metal (Na, K, Ca, Cu, Cd, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cs, Mg) [16, 17, 26, 38, 43-44] show up. The emission has the threshold character, i.e. the appropriate lines appear at the definite value of discharge current.

It is necessary to point out that in spite of the fact that the appropriate metals are present in a solution as cations, the emission spectrum shows only the lines of neutral atoms. Any lines or bands of anions contained in the salt are not observed.

As for discharge of low pressure, the emission bands of O2 molecule are not registered. It is not surprising, since the potential curves of the excited states (with the exception of the two lowest) are shifted against the ground state so that their excitation with electron impact from ground state has to result in dissociation according to the Franck-Condron principle.

Experimental data have been obtained for OH radicals only at atmospheric pressure. In studies [14, 29, 46] these data were obtained by LIF method and in study [27] – by the wideband adsorption. It was discovered that for the current range of 10-30 mA, OH concentration almost does not depend on the discharge current and equals to 5·10**13 cm**-3 for Ar plasma. For He and N2 plasmas the concentration growth is directly proportional to the current and changes from 5·10**14 to 1.4·10**15 cm**-3 for He and from 2·10**15 to 2.3·10**15 cm**-3 for N2 plasma. For air plasma [29, 46] in the same range of currents the concentration increases from 1.3·10**15 up to 1.8·10**16 cm**-3. The radial distribution of OH concentration was measured for air plasma for the discharge current of 13 mA in study [27]. The concentration at the discharge axis was ~1.7·10**17 cm**-3. Therefore, averaged on discharge cross-section concentration is ~8·10**16 cm**-3. Concentrations of all other particles were obtained by numerical modeling. The most reliable data for atmospheric pressure air plasma are presented in study [10] in the discharge current range of (20-40) mA. For Ar, N2 and O2 plasmas the similar results were obtained in the pressure range of (0.1-1) bar and at the discharge current of 40 mA [11-13]. These articles are the only ones, where the self-consistent approach was used for modeling. The Boltzmann equation for the electrons, equations of vibrational kinetics, equation of plasma conductivity, and equations of chemical kinetics including excited states were jointly solved. The reduced electric field strengths, gas and vibrational temperatures for N2(C1Πu), intensities of bands and lines were measured experimentally. Intensities of bands and lines and vibrational temperatures were used for determination of water content. For vibrational kinetics, the pumping of vibrational states (for air it were N2, O2, NO, H2O) with electron impact as well as V-V and V-T single quantum exchange were taken into consideration. The rate constants of these processes were calculated by the generalized SSH theory without any approximations [48]. Some chem-
ical reactions were taken into account as well. For air
the process list included 187 reactions with the partici-
apation of the excited and ground states for the fol-
lowing molecules and atoms: N₂, O₂, H₂O, H₂O₂, N, NO,
NO₂, NO₃, NHO₂, NHO₃, O, OH, HO₂, H, H₂, O₃, O²−, O³, O², O and the electrons.

Calculations showed that in plasma of such
discharge the substantial amount of nitrogen oxides
with the different oxidation level are formed (NO−
~10⁻¹⁶ cm⁻³, NO₂−6×10⁻¹⁴ cm⁻³, N₂O−2×10⁻¹⁴ cm⁻³).
Along with nitrogen oxide molecules the nitric acid
(HNO₃−~10⁻⁵ cm⁻³), nitric acid (HNO₂−4×10⁻³ cm⁻³),
and nitroxy molecules (HNO−6×10⁻¹⁴ cm⁻³) are
formed. The main oxygen-hydrogen containing parti-
cles were OH, HO₂ radicals (Fig. 16) and hydrogen
peroxide (~10⁻¹⁵ cm⁻³). The interesting feature of this
discharge is low concentration of ozone (<10¹⁴ cm⁻³)
even in the case of oxygen plasma.

The concentrations of atomic oxygen O(³P) and O₃(aΔ₄) and O₃(bΣ₉) metastable states were
~10⁻¹⁵ cm⁻³.

Therefore, the discharges of higher pressure
with liquid cathode provide a wide set of active spe-
cies possessing high redox properties.
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